CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2011 First Round June 22, 2011 <u>REVISED</u>

Project Number CA-2011-845

Project Name Shasta Court Apartments

Site Address: 96 Shasta Court

Chowchilla, CA 93610 County: Madera

Census Tract: 3.000

 Tax Credit Amounts
 Federal/Annual
 State/Total

 Requested:
 \$408,749
 \$1,562,863

 Recommended:
 \$408,749
 \$1,562,863

Applicant Information

Applicant: 2 Elm Ave., L.P., a California limited partnership

Contact: Gwendy Silver Egnater

Address: 15303 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1100

Sherman Oaks CA 91403

Phone: (818) 905-2430 Fax: (818) 905-2440

Email: gwendy@corpoffices.org

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Corporation for Better Housing

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: Corporation for Better Housing
Investor/Consultant: Hunt Capital Partners, LLC
Management Agent: CBH Property Management, LLC

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 4
Total # of Units: 60

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 59 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: Tax Exempt / HOME / USDA RHS 514 & RHS 521 (59 units - 100%)

Affordability Breakdown by % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 10 % 45% AMI: 15 % 50% AMI: 50 %

Bond Information

Issuer: California Statewide Communities Development Authority

09/01/11 Expected Date of Issuance: Credit Enhancement: None

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family Geographic Area: Central Region TCAC Project Analyst: Nicola Hil

Unit Mix

40 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

60 Total Units

Uni	t Type & Number	2010 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2010 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Rent (including utilities)
4	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$380
6	2 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$570
30	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$633
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$439
3	3 Bedrooms	45%	45%	\$659
14	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$732
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$818

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$13,321,296 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$148

Per Unit Cost: \$222,022

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing Source Amount Source Amount Hunt Capital Partners, LLC \$7,500,000 USDA RHS 514 \$2,990,600 City of Chowchilla - HOME \$4,500,000 City of Chowchilla - HOME \$5,000,000 FHLB AHP FHLB AHP \$720,000 \$720,000 Tax Credit Equity \$96,716 Deferred Developer Fee \$459,485 Tax Credit Equity \$4,151,211 **TOTAL** \$13,321,296

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis: \$12,022,043 130% High Cost Adjustment: No Applicable Fraction: 100.00% **Oualified Basis:** \$12,022,043 Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$408,749 **Total State Credit:** \$1,562,863 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$945,000 Investor/Consultant: Hunt Capital Partners, LLC Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.79000 State Tax Credit Factor: \$0.59000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$12,022,043 Actual Eligible Basis: \$12,022,043 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$13,329,920 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$30,525,517

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages

55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 1% for Each 1% of Low-Income Units are Income Targeted between 50% AMI & 36% AMI: 89%

55-Year Use/Affordability Restriction – 2% for Each 1% of Low-Income Units are Income Targeted at 35% AMI or Below: 20%

Tie-Breaker Information

Final: N/A

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 3.40% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency has not yet completed a site review of this project. Any negative comments in the LRA report will cause this staff report to be revised to reflect such comments.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$408,749 State Tax Credits/Total \$1,562,863

Standard Conditions

If applicant is receiving tax-exempt bond financing from other than CalHFA, the applicant shall apply for a bond allocation from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee's next scheduled meeting, if not previously granted an allocation; shall have received an allocation from CDLAC; and, shall issue bonds within time limits specified by CDLAC.

The applicant anticipates financing more than 50% of the project aggregate basis with tax-exempt bond proceeds as calculated by the project tax professional. Therefore, the federal credit reserved for this project will not count against the annual ceiling.

The IRS has advised TCAC that the amount of tax-exempt bonds issued, equivalent to at least 50% of aggregate basis, must remain in place through the first year of the credit period or until eligible basis is finally determined.

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness 180-Day Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a reservation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

D ' 4 G 4	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within 500 ft of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within 1/4 mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1.5 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Large Family proj. w/i ½ mile of public school project children may attend	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within 1/4 mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 30 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
After school program for school age children, minimum of 10 hours/week	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 17.5%	2	2	2
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Silver	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Total Points	126	126	126

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.